

# Differentiation of measures

We say that a measure  $\mu$  is **locally finite** if for every point  $x$  we can find  $r > 0$  s.t.  $\mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ , where  $B(x, r)$  is the **closed** ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ .

Definition Let  $\mu, \lambda$  be locally finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The **upper and lower derivatives** of  $\mu$  **with respect to**  $\lambda$  at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are

$$\frac{0}{0} := \infty$$

$$\overline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) := \overline{\lim}_{r \searrow 0} \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\lambda(B(x, r))}; \quad \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) = \underline{\lim}_{r \searrow 0} \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\lambda(B(x, r))}$$

At the points  $x$  where the limit above exists

we write  $D(\mu, \lambda, x) := \lim_{r \searrow 0} \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\lambda(B(x, r))}$ , and we say

that  $D(\mu, \lambda, x)$  is the **derivative** of  $\mu$  w.r.t.  $\lambda$ .

Homework (neither collected nor compulsory)

Prove that the functions  $x \mapsto \overline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x)$ ,  $x \mapsto \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x)$  are Borel measurable functions, in the special case when  $\lambda = \mathcal{L}^d$  ( $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure), via the following steps:

(a) Prove that  $x \mapsto \mu(B(x, r))$  is **upper semi-continuous**. That is whenever  $x_i \rightarrow x$  then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B(x_i, r)) \leq \mu(B(x, r)).$$

(b) Prove that in the definitions of  $\bar{D}(\mu, \lambda, x)$  &  $\underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x)$  we can restrict ourselves to positive rational  $r$ .

To see this you can use that

- $r \mapsto \mu(B(x, r))$  is monotone increasing in  $r$ ,
- $r \mapsto \mathcal{L}^d(B(x, r))$  is continuous in  $r$ .

(c) Use that the **infima & suprema** of Borel functions are Borel functions.

Recall: We say that  $\mu$  is **absolute continuous** w.r.t.  $\lambda$  if  $\lambda(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A) = 0$ .

Theorem 1 (Differentiation) Let  $\mu$  &  $\lambda$  be Radon measures.

(1) For  $\lambda$ -a.a.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $D(\mu, \lambda, x)$  exists & finite.

(2)  $\int_B D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) \leq \mu(B)$  for any  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  Borel set, with equality if  $\mu \ll \lambda$ .

(3)  $\mu \ll \lambda \iff \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) < \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

In order to prove this theorem we need a lemma.

Lemma 2 Let  $\mu, \lambda$  be Radon measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$0 < t < \infty$  &  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$(1) (\forall x \in A, \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) \leq t) \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq t \cdot \lambda(A),$$

$$(2) (\forall x \in A, \overline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) \geq t) \Rightarrow \mu(A) \geq t \cdot \lambda(A).$$

Proof of the Lemma part (1) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose an

open set  $U$  s.t.  $A \subset U$  &  $\lambda(U) < \lambda(A) + \varepsilon$ .

Now we apply the Vitali Covering Theorem for Radon

measures as follows: We define a collection of

balls:  $\forall x \in A$  pick a **closed** ball  $B_x$  centered

at  $x$  s.t.

$$\textcircled{1} B_x \subset U, \mu(B_x) < (t + \varepsilon) \lambda(B_x)$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} := \{B_x\}_{x \in A}$ . Now we apply the Vitali Covering

Theorem for Radon measures for  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $A$  and  $\mu$ .

This yields the existence of disjoint closed

balls  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  s.t.

$$B_i \subset U; \mu(B_i) < (t + \varepsilon) \lambda(B_i); \mu(A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = 0.$$

Then

$$\mu(A) \leq \sum_i \mu(B_i) \leq (t + \varepsilon) \sum_i \lambda(B_i) \leq (t + \varepsilon) \lambda(U) \leq (t + \varepsilon) (\lambda(A) + \varepsilon)$$

Let  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  to get  $\mu(A) \leq t \cdot \lambda(A)$ . This completes the

proof of part (1). The proof of part (2) is similar and left as homework.  $\blacksquare$  Now we prove Thm 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 For  $0 < \tau < \infty$  &  $0 < s < t < \infty$  let

$$A_{s,t,\tau} := \{x \in B(0,\tau) : \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) \leq s < t \leq \overline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x)\},$$

$$A_{u,\tau} := \{x \in B(0,\tau) : \overline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) \geq u\}.$$

Lemma 2 yields that

$$t \cdot \lambda(A_{s,t,\tau}) \leq \mu(A_{s,t,\tau}) \leq s \cdot \lambda(A_{s,t,\tau}) < \infty \quad (*)$$

$$u \cdot \lambda(A_{u,\tau}) \leq \mu(A_{u,\tau}) \leq \mu(B(0,\tau)) < \infty. \quad (**)$$

$$(*) \Rightarrow \lambda(A_{s,t,\tau}) = 0 \text{ since } s < t.$$

$$(**) \Rightarrow \lambda\left(\bigcap_{u>0} A_{u,\tau}\right) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A_{u,\tau}) = 0.$$

$$G := \{x : D(\mu, \lambda, x) \text{ exists & } D(\mu, \lambda, x) < \infty\}.$$

Then

$$G^c = \left( \bigcup_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \\ \tau \in \mathbb{N}^+}} A_{s,t,\tau} \right) \cup \left( \bigcap_{u>0} A_{u,\tau} \right)$$

The  $\lambda$  measure of any of these sets is zero.

The  $\lambda$  measure of this is also zero.

Hence  $\lambda(G^c) = 0$ . This completes the proof of part (a).

Proof of Part (2) Let  $1 < t < \infty$ ,  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  Borel set.

$$B_p := \{x \in B : t^p \leq D(\mu, \lambda, x) < t^{p+1}\} \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Below we use part (1) of this Thm. & part (2) of Lemma 2:

$$\int_B D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_p} D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) \leq \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} t^{p+1} \lambda(B_p) \\ \leq t \cdot \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(B_p) = t \mu(B)$$

$x \in B_p \Rightarrow D(\mu, \lambda, x) > t^p \Rightarrow \mu(B_p) \geq t^p \lambda(B_p)$ . Now let  $t \downarrow 1$

$$\int_B D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) \leq \mu(B)$$

If  $\mu \ll \lambda$  then any  $\lambda$ -measure zero set is also  $\mu$ -measure zero set. Hence

$$D(\mu, \lambda, x) = D(\lambda, \mu, x)^{-1} > 0 \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.a. } x.$$

$$\text{Then } \mu(B) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(B_p)$$

$$\int_B D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_p} D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) \geq \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} t^p \lambda(B_p) \geq \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(B_p) \\ = \mu(B).$$

Hence

$$\int_B D(\mu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) = \mu(B).$$

Proof of Part (3) Assume that  $\underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) < \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .  
Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\lambda(A) = 0$ . We want to prove that  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

We write  $A_u := \{x \in A : \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) \leq u\}$ . Then

By part (1) of Lemma 2 we have  $\mu(A_u) \leq u \cdot \lambda(A)$ .

Hence  $\mu(A_u) \leq u \cdot \lambda(A) = 0$ . By our assumption:

$\mu(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x : \underline{D}(\mu, \lambda, x) < \infty\}) = 0$ . Hence

$\mu(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{u=1}^{\infty} A_u) = 0$ . On the other hand,

we have seen that  $\mu(A_u) = 0$ . That is

$\mu(A) = 0$ . In this way we have verified that

$\lambda(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A) = 0$ . That is  $\mu \ll \lambda$ .

This completes the proof of the differentiation of measures theorem. ■